1 2	DAVID L. ANDERSON (CABN 149604) United States Attorney	
3	HALLIE HOFFMAN (CABN 210020) Chief, Criminal Division	
5	LEAH PAISNER (NJBN 175362015) Special Assistant United States Attorney	
6	1301 Clay Street, Suite 340S	
7	Oakland, California 94612 Telephone: (510) 637-3680	
8	FAX: (510) 637-3724 Leah.Paisner@usdoj.gov	
9		
10	Attorneys for United States of America	
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	OAKLAND DIVISION	
13		
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) CASE NO. CR 09-00203 CW (JCS)
15	Plaintiff,	) ) ( <del>PROPOSED)</del> DETENTION ORDER
16	v.	
17	MUHAMMAD ABDUL AZIZ BIN YAHYA,	)
18	Defendant.	) )
19		) )
20		) )
21		) )
22		
23		
24		
25		
$\begin{bmatrix} 25 \\ 26 \end{bmatrix}$		
20   27		
28		
	DETENTION ORDER CR 09-00203 CW (JCS)	

On October 15, 2020, an amended Petition for Arrest Warrant for Offender Under Supervision

1 was filed, charging the defendant with violating the terms of his supervised release. Dkt. 1774. On 2 3 October 26, 2020, the defendant appeared before the Court for a detention hearing on the violation petition. The defendant appeared by Zoom video teleconference from Santa Rita Jail and was in custody 4 5 at the time of the hearing. The defendant was represented by K.C. Maxwell. Special Assistant United States Attorney Leah Paisner appeared for the government. The government moved for detention, and 6 the defendant opposed. The defendant moved to be released to his current residence on his previous 7 8 release conditions or on modified conditions, which both the government and the U.S. Probation Office 9 opposed. At the hearing, the parties submitted proffers and arguments regarding detention, particularly 10 11 12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

with respect to the defendant's danger to the community and risk of non-appearance. Upon consideration of the facts, proffers, and arguments presented, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Court finds by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably ensure the safety of the. Accordingly, the defendant must be detained pending resolution of the violation petition.

The present order supplements the Court's findings and order at the detention hearing and serves as written findings of fact and a statement of reasons as required by Title 18, United States Code, Section 3143(a).

The Court considered as factors supporting its conclusion: (1) the nature and circumstances of defendant's recent arrest on October 15, 2020, which involved the defendant committing domestic violence against a victim who was the victim in another pending state domestic violence case against him, (2) this incident involved the defendant allegedly punching the victim in the face with a closed fist and possessing a knife in front of the victim's mother and several children; (3) the police report provided that this incident was recorded on a nearby surveillance camera and that the victim reported that although this was only the second reported domestic violence case involving the defendant, there had been a total of ten incidents of this nature; (4) this arrest occurred while the defendant was on federal supervision and was the subject of a pending supervised release violation case that already involved new

27

28

criminal conduct, namely arrests for domestic violence in January 2020 and burglary in June 2019, respectively; (5) the October 15, 2020 conduct occurred while the defendant was both facing state charges in the aforementioned cases and was subject to stringent federal release conditions, including home detention and location monitoring and a peaceful contact order involving the victim; (6) the probation officer advised that the defendant was recently taken into custody for a violation of his state bail conditions, and was thereafter released, and has increasingly become nonresponsive to Probation's queries; (7) the defendant's criminal history, including his federal conviction for conspiracy to distribute, manufacture, and possess with intent to distribute crack cocaine and marijuana and state convictions for drug-related and violent offenses, and (8) the defendant's history of committing new crimes, including those involving domestic violence, while on federal supervision. For these and all the reasons and discussion on the record, the Court granted the government's motion for detention, finding that the defendant failed to meet his burden to demonstrate by clear and convincing evidence that he did not pose a danger to the community. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a), IT IS ORDERED THAT: 1. The defendant be, and hereby is, committed to the custody of the Attorney General for

- confinement in a corrections facility;
- 2. The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and
- 3. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined shall deliver the defendant to an authorized United States Marshal for the purpose of any appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

IT SO ORDERED.

DATED: October 26, 2020

ON. JOSEPH C. SPERO

UNITED STATES CHIEF MAGISTRATE JUDGE

CA

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28 **DETENTION ORDER** CR 09-00203 CW (JCS)